BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 124 of 2015

Sumitra Devi Vs. CPCB & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant: Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Mr. Gaurav Bhardwaj Mr.

Sumit Hasija & Mr. Satya Narayan Vashsita and,

Advs.

Respondent No. 1: Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv. with Mr. Bhupender

Kumar, LA, Central Pollution Control Board

Respondent nos. 2: Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG, Mr. Ankit Shah,

Mr. Lokendra Kachhawa with Mr. Samesh

Rajpal and Mr. Adhiraj Singh, Advs.

Respondent No. 4: Mr. Ambit with Mr. Sanjay Jha, Regional

Manager, RIICO

Respondent No. 5: Mr. S.S. Shamshery and Mr. Prateek Yadav,

Advs.

Respondent No. 6 to 8: Mr. Anil Grover, AAG with Mr. Rahul Khurana,

Adv.

Da	te and	Orders of the Tribunal
	marks	
It	tem No. 06	List the matter for arguments on 21st March, 2016.
F	e <mark>br</mark> uary	In the meanwhile, State of Rajasthan would file an
2	5, 2016	Affidavit in terms of the previous order of the Tribunal
M	10	dated 9th February, 2016. The affidavit should also clarify
	艺	as to why the State Government, RIICO and the industry
-	1/1/8	(on Polluter Pays Principal) should not be directed to spent
~		the money for upgradation of CETP to ensure that the
	2	effluents is treated strictly to the prescribed standards,
		beside it is an incorrect statement that there is variation
		which is of a miner nature in relation to the prescribed
		parameters particularly the discharge of the trade effluent
		by these industries. The Affidavit must revealed as why
		they should not be directed to provide conduit pipeline to
		ensure that the effluent does not enter in to the ground
		water and is brought to the CETP from all concerned.

	List the matter for hearing on 21st March, 2016.
	,CP (Swatanter Kumar)
	,JM (Dr. Jawad Rahim)
2	,EM (Dr. D. K. Agrawal),EM (B.S. Sajwan)

